The History of the Divorce of HENRY VIII. and KATHARINE of ARRAGON.

With the Defence of Sanders. The Refutation of the Two first Books of the History of the Reformation of Dr. Burnett. By Joachim le Grand. With Dr. Burnett's Answer and Vindication of himself.

the two other Parts by this, which feems at first but an Abridgement of the two first Books of the History of the Reformation by Dr. Burnet, though the Author promises to refute them in the two

following Volumes.

First, It seems that M. le Grand forcfaw that Men would have this Idea of his Work, which is the Reason he has put before that History a preliminary Discourfe, where he endeavours, yet without telling his Design, to divert the Reader from having any fuch Thoughts. He relates at first a Conference that he had with Dr. Burnett, in the King's Library, in the Presence of M. Thevenot, that teaches, than he that propounds Event to another; yet, as the Author lays,

TEE have not as yet feen a- the Difficulties. But in the Relation of 'ny more than the first Part, this Conference we find quite the conof this Work, which was trary. Mr. Burnett, who, according to published the Fifth of this Month. I the Authors Character, is a Person of know not whether a Man may judge of a quick peircing Wit, laborious, indefatigable, and most capable to defend the Reformation; whose Expressions are always free, bold and full of fire; and who fpeaks upon this Occasion with an Eloquence that charms them that hear him: Yet this Mr. Burnett leaves the principal Points undetermined, or elfe confents and fubmits every thing that is opposed against him. But M. Le Grand, who propounds his Difficulties after a plain humble Manner, and rather as Doubts than as real Objections, makes evident, quotes, attacks, and at length leaves Mr. Burnett with hardly a Word to fay for himself.

There is no Wonder to be made, and M. Auzout. The Makers of Dia- that fo foon, as M. Le Grand belogues frequently introduce two Per- gan to write, he should so suddainly fons, one of which puts the Question, overturn a Man of that Learning and and the other Answers: One is the Ma- Reputation as Dr. Burnett. For though ster, and the other is the Scholar: Or never any Man wrote with more Cunning, at least, they make him more learned for knew better how to link and chain one

rable Libraries: of the Kingdom, to fetch out Registers and authentick Records and Acts, and Copies of Dispatches, Memoirs and other Manuscripts of those times, out of which to compose his Hiltory; who has printed a Volume in Folio of those fort of Picces, in justiwhom the whole Nation, and the Parliament it felf, gave publick Testimonies of the Effecti which they had for his Work. But the Reason that M. Le Grand, alledges for his Adversary's Ignorance in the History, is because he does not refute the Errors which M. Varillas has committed in feveral Things that concern'd England, in his first Book of the History of Herefy; having no other Design than to criticize upon the Ninth, which only relates to the Reformation, as appears by the Title, A Critick upon the Ninth Book of the History of M. Varillas, where he speaks of the Revolutions, &c.

Mr. Burnett and M. Varillas being fuch defective Historians in M. Le Grand's threaten them, to raise up a Third, that thall make them lose a good Part of the Reputation which they have gotten. And that which confirms his Hopes is this, because 'is Plain, That those Authors are are less valuable than their first. for M. Varillas, fince it could never be believed that a Historian, to partial,

he never studied the History of England. than his Histories. But as for Mr. Bur-He that romaged all the most conside- nett's Travels into Italy, I must take the Liberty to inform the Publick, that M. he Grand, who cites that Book to confirm what he writes, made his Judgments upon the French Version, though Mr. Burnet hath declared, That he had but too frequently mistook his Meaning.

As to the Memoirs which the Author fication of what he fays; he to made use of, he says nothing but what he has taken out of the Letters and Dispatches of Francis I. Henry VIII. the Cardinals, Woolley and Grandemont, the Bishops of Auxerres, Maion, Tarbes, &c. Where we find that M. Le Grand makes two Persons of Cardinal Grandemont and the Bishop of Tarbes; whereas they were but one and the fame. As for the Letters and Dispatches, &c. of Henry VIII. and Cardinal Wolfey, a great many of them being in English, as may be feen in Mr. Burnett's Collection, perhaps they might be of little Use to our Author; for we are apt to believe that he did not understand the Language; as well for that by the Judgment which he makes of the Travels into Italy, as by what Mr. Burnett shews in the Letter Opinion, there is no Wonder if he which follows this Extract, it appears that M. Le Grand never cast his Eyes upon that Collection of Pieces which is added to the English Edition of the History of the Reformation of England.

Secondly, The Divorce of Henry VIII. very Negligent, and that their last Works is too well known to make an Extract As of it. We shall therefore make some Remarks, which will absolutely undeceive those who may imagine that M. could write after a rational manner, I Le Grana's Book is an Abstract of one never gave my felf the Trouble to com, part of Mr. Burnett's. In short, the pare his Works together; and fo I Method and Defign of those Two Books cannot fay whether his Answer to his is extreamly different, as well as the Advertaries Critick, be better or worfe Memoirs upon which they are ground-

t. M. Burnet has no other Aim in Writing the History of Henry VIII. than to represent how the Proceedings of that Prince, whose irregular Conduct he does not undertake to justify, levelled the Way to that Reformation which was made under his Succellors: M. Le Grand makes Henry to be always in the wrong, as if he had never done 2. M. Le Grand bestows his Encomiums upon those that he thinks deferve them, though never so great Enemies of the Reformation, as Fisher, More and Cardinal Poole. He never dissembles the Faults of those that contributed most to the Reformation, as Cromwell, Cranmer, the Duke of Somerfet, &c. because he has observed by an infinite Number of Examples out of facred and ecclefiaftical History, That God never makes use of perfect Instruments for the Execution of his Deligns. M. Le Grand feems to have had very opposite Ends. All those that contributed to advance the Reformation are very ill handled by him in his History; where he gives them the honourable Title of False Prophets, particularly to Ann Bolen, and Cranmer, whom he calls the Falle Prelate; and Cromwell, whom he abuses, as Man as ignorant as everwas in the World. This Minister, who is never permitted to justify himself, is condemned under pretence of having exceeded his Mafter's Orders, in granting Pallports for the Exportation of Money and Corn. But herefy was the capital Accusation that was laid to his Charge. Nevertheless the Author asfures us, That the Impeachment against form was grounded particularly, upon several Letters that were found among his Papers, wherein he acknowledged that be held pri-

Germany. unknown to the King.

Now in regard that History is but a Texture of Original Letters, and that every Politician has his particular Remarks upon an Affair which he does not well understand, no wonder that M. Le Grand represents so variously the Deligns and Inclinations of those who had the greatest Share in this Negotiation. He fays, That Francis I. mus meany at last of the Capriccio's of Henry VIII. and confented to the definitive Sentence, which. condemned him to retake his Wife under Pain of Excommunication. Nevertheless he observes, that after that Sentence, Francis I. fided with Heavy VIII. in all his Affairs with all the Zeal imaginable. That Francis I. would not hear the Proposal of Clement VII. That that Pope had promised before to do for the King of England all that lay in his Power: That the Pope made some Scruple at it, but at length gave him his Promise. But all these new Promises could not make the Holy Father forget those that he had made at the beginning of the Process to the General of the Cordliers, the Emperor's Agent. Clement himself acknowledged that he had promifed that he would never pronounce Sentence upon the Divorce, and that he would do nothing in that Affair without giving Charles I. Notice. If the Church of Rome be so excessively tied to Decisions, the Court of Rome, on the other fide, is as little tied to Promifes. And therefore we must confess that the Complaifance of that Church goes iometimes a very great way. In those Ages, faith our Author, speaking of those that followed the Tenth, The Discipline touching Marriages was not so levere

severe as afterwards. Kings put away proved there near affinity, was away their their Wives upon slight occasions, and never Wives and took others. So at there were their Wives upon slight occasions, and never were desirous to have one, and it was as case to find one; because they could not marry with a Kinswoman on this side the Seventh Degree: So that Princes that could not allie themselves indifferently with all forts of Persons finding themselves all united. in Blood, and coming to dislike their match,

Sought for any Pretence. Afterwards they Some Princes who had Two or Three Wives living, and Princesses that had Two or Three Husbands. This was practifed in the Tenth. Eleventh, Twelfth and Thirteenth Ages; and in these times of Darkness and Ignorance it was, that these Sholes of Canonists and Scholastick Divines became so numerous.

A Letter to Monsieur Thevenot, being a full Resutation of Mr. Le Grand's Hiftory of HENRY VIII's Divorcing KATHARINE of Arragon. With a plain Vindication of the same by Dr. G. B.

Ermit me, Sir, before I pay you all those marks of Respect which are your due, to assure you that I am so well perswaded of your Probity and Sincerity, that maugre the difference of perswasion that is between us, nevertheless I dare adventure to Submit to your Judgment in the Contest, that seems to be between me and M. Le Grand, in a matter that has no finall relation to Religion. Opinions, and the speculative Consequences which Men draw from matters of Fact, appear very much different, according to the different Idea's which Men have of the Things: But the matters of Fact themselves have but one Face, and prefent themselves after the same manner to all that feek the Truth. Therefore in regard that our dispute moves alto-

in taking you for my Arbitrator.

During our last Residence at Paris, having had the Honour to visit you feveral times, I had time to observe with how much justice you made your felf the fubject, and the esteem, and admiration of all the World. I was convinced of it more particularly by the Civilities which you heap'd upon me, and by the pains you took to bring me into a Conference with M. Le Grand, in your own and the Presence of M. Auzout. I was the less scrupulous when I found my felf in a place where I could expect nothing but fair dealing from a person that lived in the House of a Man no less considerable for his extraordinary Vertues, than for the great Emproyments with which he was entrusted, and who frequently converfed with the gether upon matters of Fact, I am Learned M. Bulteau, who as often vilited apt to believe I can hazard nothing the famous M. Baluze, whose Sincerity equals [5]

equals his profound Learning, a thing cularly upon what he fays, That I never Friend of M. Thevenot's. were to be expected from a fair Ad-

veriary.

I should give you too great a trouble Things that were upheld in your Pre-Tences, and at which you your felves were so much distated, that you confess'd ingeniously how much you were ashamed to hear them. You made this acknowledgment not only to me, after others also; and you were so well satisfied in this, that though what had talking of, yet that I had fully answer'd the Discourse, as mean and frivolous as it was. M. Auzout desired likewise at the same time, that I would make no noise of it, to which request I was readily induced to condescend: For to fay the very truth, I did not find that my Adversary was a subject worthy my Triumph, or the pains of boafting in publick a Victory over him. And therefore as to what I have deem'd requisite to infert of our Discourse, among the Remarks which I have made upon fome passages of the History of M. Varillas, I have managed M. Le Grand with all the Circumspection that he could expect Though, if in the pursuit of from me. this Discourse, I happen to wound him more to the quick, he must thank himself, and not lay the blame on any body elfe.

I can eafily brook all those Reflections which he has made upon my Ignorance, and shallowness of Capacity, more parti-

rarely to be found in our Age: And, Studied the History or the Laws of England. which was more than all the rest, a For thus he expresses himself after he This made had bestowed great Praises upon me, me look upon M. Le Grand, as a person and such as I never deserved. Neverthat had all those noble Qualities that theless, by the suddain change of his Pen, he feems to look upon me as a Person of little worth. But that same Thick skull'd, and common Artifice of to recal to your memories all those little fome People to praise those whose Reputation they have a defign to deftroy will never furprize men of Understanding; nor will hainous and dirty reproaches pass among them under the Covert of a few generous Encomium's. I must be contented with that small M. Le Grand's departure, but to feveral measure of Knowledge and Capacity, which come to my just share, especially now that I have to do with a person of been propounded, was not worth the fo mean a Talent, as M. Le Grand appears to be by this fame Treatife of his.

a a g

I could only wish that they, who would be better inform'd of the truth of that celebrated Pallage of the Hiftory, which is the Subject of our Dispute, would give themselves the trouble to read what Sanders and my felf have written, and then peruse the History of M. Le Grand. I am assur'd they will conclude, That there must be some fault in the Title Page, where he promises the Defence of Sanders, and the Refutation of the Two first Books of my The whole substance of History. his Work agrees altogether with mine, unless it be in some parts, where he shews that great Art of his, wherein I yield him willingly to out-do me. In all things else he so perfectly concurrs with me, that I am tempted to believe, He only took his Pen in hand, to fulfil those Offers which he made me in your Presence, to fur-

nish me with Memoirs sufficient for the Confirmation of what I have wrote upon this Subject. True it is, I have not read any more as yet than the First Part of his Book; nor can I imagine how he can justifie Sanders, whom he has abandon'd during the whole course of his History. He forfakes him in the whole History of Ann of Boloigne, and in all the progresses of the Story that depends upon it, though it be the chief Head of Sanders's Accusation, and which he prefles most vigorously, as being a Nullity in the Title of Queen Elizabeth, and consequently an Original pretence for Rebellion." He acknowledges also the Decretal Bull, nor does he infift upon the Carriage of Sr. Thomas Moore. In a word, if you examine the Fourscore Faults of which I have accus'd Sanders in my Additions, you will find that M. Le Grand has confelled above Seventy, and confirms what I have maintained in opposition Which will most evidently appear, if his work shall ever be thought worthy a larger Examination.

I fay nothing of his Stile, for that his Readers without much confideration or fludy will cafily find it to be the Stile rather of an Advocate that pleads a Caufe, than of a person difinterested, that cordially and barely relates matter of Fact. For to argue with heat and pallion, and reproach his Adverfaries, are unpardonable faults in an Historian. Besides that, there is fomething fo facred in the very Ashes of Kings, that they are never to be look'n of but with great Caution; and if at any time there be an unavoidable occafion to blame some of their Actions, fofter Terms are to be made use of,

than those of Lye and Imposture. Add to this, that the principal Point, and upon which the whole Question moves. being, Whether the King's own Cause ought not rather to be judged in England, and by his Clergy, than at Rome, and in the Conliftory; that Man can never be thought to act conformably to the Gallican Church, who takes part with the Pope upon this occasion. It is rather to be wondered at, that at a time when there is so little respect given at Versailles to the Vatican Thunder, and where the ancient Custom is renewed of appealing from the Pope to the General Council; I fay, it is a wonder, at fuch a time as this, a Subject of this nature, should not be handled with more freedom and fincerity. Perhaps this is one of the little Tricks of those fort of People, which M. Talon has more frankly described, than I have a delign to do, who make hideous portraictures of the Actions of Henry VIII. to observe the glory of those of Lewisthe Great. And perhaps our Author is neither fo great a Politician, nor fo well knowing in Affairs, as to have fuch distant prospects in his Eyes, or else this work being his first Eslay, he did not fludy the Point with that Application which was requilite, believing that trouble to no purpose while he has to do with a perion, that gives no better proofs of his Understanding than my felf. I shall therefore infilt only upon fix of his principal Errors, which are nothing to the great number of mistakes which he has committed, and which I could eafily make appear, had I the Liberty to enlarge my felf in a writing that must be inserted into the Universal Library.

I. He





of the Decretal Bull, which Cardinal fation was allow'd, were Dead before Campeggio brought, upon this Ground, the Marriage was confummated. That having been only shewn to the King and Cardinal Woolfey, no Body for the dissolution of the Marriage becan tell what it was; and if it had been a definitive Sentence in that matter, the Legates Commission had been at an end, and the King would have contracted his Second Marriage, as formerly Lewis the XII. did, without expecting any

other proceedings.

Had Monsieur Le Grand given himself the trouble to read that Bull which I have published, he might have spar'd himself so many useless Remarks. The Bull was contriv'd in *England* and fent to Rome, where, though some few Alterations were made, it appeared nevertheless by all the Letters, that were to Compeggio was in substance the same. Certain it is, that Bull declared the King's Pretences to be just, gave power to the Legates, to examine the Truth of them, and to pronounce Sentence upon the proofs that should be made before them. For though this Bull implied a definitive Sentence of the Pope, upon a supposition of the Validity of the King's Pretensions; nevertheto do. They were to inform themfelves, 1. Whether the King had not defired this Marriage himself. 2. Whether it would not occasion a War between Spain and England, should a Dispensation be granted. 3. Whether this Dispensation had been annull'd by the Protestation which the King made ed. against the Marriage, when he came to

I. He calls in question the Contents Princes, in favour of whom the Disper-

It is apparent that that fame Bull tween Henry and Catharine, being only granted upon supposition, that all the matters in Question were as the King maintained them to be, had been void in case he could not have prov'd his fuggestions; which is the thing that confounds all the Author's Arguments.

But I must confess that M. Le Grand has fomething of Reason on his side in what he fays concerning Rodulphius, whom I believed to have been Campeggio's Bastard. He proves out of Sigonius, who writes the Life of that Cardinal, that Rodulphus was his Legitimate Son. Sigonius is a very good Author, written reciprocally from Rome and I acquiesce in his Authority. But England; that the Bull which was given had M. Le Grand cast but his Eyes upon the English Edition, he would have seen that it was not without sufficient Ground, and not out of any design to blacken the Reputation of C. Campeggio, that I call'd Rodulphus Bastard; since I quote the very Discourse wherein he is so called, which was Compos'd by Sr. William Thomas, Secretary to the Privy Council, under the Title of The English Pilgrim. I had the misfortune not to have feen the Life less it lest many things for the Legates that was written by Sigonius, so that it is only a fault of Omission, which the Author would aggravate into a malicious Invention. And I make this acknowledgment of my Error to much the more frankly, because it is the only mistake among all the rest of which the Author accuses me, that is well ground-

II. M. Le Grand labours to destroy be of Age. 4. Whether any of the the Authority of the Decision of the Sorbonn

Sorbonn in favour of Henry. But in regard this Decision was printed the Year following, and acknowledged for true and real, fince no person in those times taxes it of being counterfeited, we have no reason now to suspect it; for neither does Cardinal Poole, who was then at Paris, when it was made, nor any other writer of the Roman Communion, tex the King of Imposture upon that occasion. Add to this that the Bishop of Turbes being continued to follicit in Hemy's behalf at the Court of Rome, after he was made Cardinal, and that the King had publickly acknowledged before the Legates, how privy that Prelate had been to his Scruples conceived upon his Marriage, has given an undeniable Confirmation of this matter, whatever our Author fays to the contrary. The same thing is to be faid of the Sorbonn; for that never having been charged with falshood in the particular of this Decision, there is no question but that they made it. So that all M. Le Grana's Arguments can never prove any thing more, than only that it has occasioned great Diiputes, and that Beda was a real promoter of Sedition. By the way, we may observe that the Ecclesiasticks of France were very ill fatisfied with the Conduct of Francisthe First, who had fold their Liberties by the Concordate, of which the University of Paris was fo femible, and for that reason full of Male-contents. And therefore it might be perhaps that so many of the French Clergy were to ill affected to Heary's Caule, because they knew that Francis the first so passionately supported his Interests. After all, the Author confesses, That he found in the scruting

Fifty three voices for the Divorce, and Forty two against it; and Five, that were of Opinion that the matter should be referr'd to the Pope. And this is fufficient to justifie the printed Decision, which only fays, That the greatest number of Doctors were for the Divorce, and declared the Marriage illegal, which may ferve for an Explanation of the words of the Letter of the first President, That that same Declaration mould do the King more hurt than it would advance his Affairs; In regard all the other Universities had judged in his Favour, whereas the Opinion of the Sorbonn favour'd him only by the plura-

lity of voices.

III. The Author, who pretends to publish an Extract of the Reasons which the Favourers of Henry alledged against his Marriage, has forgot the Principal, and that which supported all the decisions of the Romish Church; that is to fay, That the Scripture, explained by Tradition, is the Rule according to which all Controversies are to be determined: They alledged a perpetual succession of Provincial and general Councils, of Popes, and the Chief of the Greek and Latin Fathers; particularly, the Four most famous Fathers of the Western Church, whereas the Imperialists had neither Father nor Doctor on their figle: Nevertheless the Author says no more, but that the English quoted the Canons of some Provincial Councils concerning Incontinency; with certain paffages out of Tertulian, St. Bafil and St. Ferom about Virginity, and against second Nuptials. I am jure the Reader must here take notice, That there is fomething wanting in this Relation which is more ellential to an honelt Man, than

than a great stock of Capacity. For the Relation of that time. They all tend to expresly of the Degrees of Marriage, forbidden in Leviticus. He names Three same Exactness. But the Church is 20-Popes whose Letters they produced; but he passes over in silence the Chief, in this Pope gave express Order to Anfin the Monk to difannul all Marriages that had been contracted with Brothers Wives. Now England having submitted to this Law, upon its first embracing (hristianity, they who defended the Kings fcruples looked upon this as the Principal Foundation of his Caufe. So that if M. Le Grand would have acquired the Reputation of a fincere Historian, he ought to have mentioned this Particular. Moreover he should not have pasfed over in filence as he does, all that was alledged against the Power which the Popes allume to themselves of dispenfing with all Ecclefiaftical, and every the Divine Laws themselves. Nor ought he to have forgot that other great Reafon urged by the King, that according to the Canons of the Council of Nice, Interest. the determination of that matter belonged of right to the English Church, and not to the Pope. If the Author be a True Member of the Gallican Church, he would be thought a Faithful Historifilence. But though he do not fet down all the Kings Reasons, he adds several this.

Canons of Councils and the Passages out prove that the Rules touching the dof the Fathers which they quoted, speak grees of Consanguinity have not been always observed in Marriages with the verned by Rules and not by Examples. *

As for the Law of Demeronony which reference to England, who was Gregory permitts a Man to Marry his Silter-inthe Great. For the Saxons being con- Law, if her Husband died without Chilverted at what time he held the See, dren, it has been always confidered in the Christian Church, as an Exception to the General Rule; fo that in regard it was only made in favour of the Jews, and with reference to their Right of Succession, it was abolish'd together with their Republick; whereas the Laws of Levinicas concerning this Matter, are to be look'd upon as Laws that are Moral and Universally received. In a word if you will take the pains to compare the Books that have been written upon this Subject, with the Extracts which M. Le Grand and my felf have given of them, you will prefently find that he writes with no Sincerity at all, who descends to a Nicety. For my part I shall not Envy him the High Opinion he has of his, fo long as Men will but acknowledge me to have writ fincerely and without the Byass of

IV. Our Author fays that the Parliament abolish'd the Oath which the Bishops swore to the Pope at the time of their Confecration; and form'd another he ought to grant these Maximes; and if which they were to swear to the King. But this is not that which he calls underan, he ought not to pass them over in standing to the Bottom, the Laws and History of England. For the Truth was They read in that Allembly the New Reasons to the Queens pleading, two oaths which the Bishops took, the which her Advocates never dream'd of, one to the Pope, the other to the King; and we do not meet with in any Story or and in regard they found them to be

Homage and Fidelity, which could only be fworn to one Soveraign; they abolish'd that which was made to the Pope, and let that stand in it's full vigour which was fworn to the King. have given an undeniable Example of their oaths fworn to the King by the Eilhops in former Ages, which is to be feen in an Act at the head of the Collection of the Pieces that justifie my. History. If M. Le Grand had only the French Translation, where those Pieces are not, he might have confulted the English Edition at Mr. Bulteau's, where they are all to be feen. He might have there feen in the Act which I cite, Cardinal Adrian renounce not only all the Claufes of the Bulls which were contrary to the Kings Prerogative, or the Laws of England; but also swear an Oath of Fealty to the King, in the fame Terms which our Kings have fince continued to receive them from the Bishops. The Oath to the Pope, which is an Innovation not known till before the XII. Age, contains besides, so many large and unlimited Clauses, which neither accord with the Doctrine of the Gallican Church, nor with that lubmission and duty which Prelates owe their lawful Prince, fince it is apparently an Oath of Homage and Fidelity to a Foreign Power.

V. Mr. Le Grand labours might and main, to make Cranmer to be look'd upon as one of the most wicked men in the world. He accuses me for making him a Gentleman, but I have faid nothing of it, though I well knew him to be fo; not believing that Quality considerable enough to be mentioned in

Contradictory, as being two oaths of the Eulogies due to the memory of fo great a Personage. He cannot believe, That Cranmer was in Germany when "Warham died, nor that he was named in his Absence to be Bishop of Can-"lerviny; nor that he stay'd Seven weeks after he received the News of " his Nomination, because he assisted "at the Marriage of the King with Anne Bolen. He cannot allow what I fay, "That this Affair went on flowly, fince it was but three Months between December and January before this Prelate was known to be exalted at Rome. Nor will he be perfwaded, That the Provincial Synod of Canterbury pronounced any politive fentence upon the Marriage of the King. See here more mistakes than Varillas himself could have been guilty of. For in the Criminal Process against Cranmer which is Printed, we find that he calls his Judges to witness, with what reluctancy he accepted the Primacy of England; and that he did not return out of Germany till Seven Weeks after the King had fignified to him his Intentions. Nor did the Bishops who knew his Judges, and who had been Eye-witnesses of his behaviour at that time, lay any thing to it, as not being able to contradict what he faid. Twelve Weeks passed from the Twenty third of August, that Warham died, to the Fourteenth of November, that the King was married; fo that although the Courrier had staid Fifteen days by the way, Cranmer might have delay'd his departure for Seven Weeks, and yet have come time enough to be at the Nuptials of the King. But our Author to change Five Months into three excludes

he found it requifite to retrench them. Canterbury, the Sentence of Divorce has it in express Terms, That the two provincial Synods of England had decided

the King's Caufe. But M. Le Grand, above all things makes it a (rime in Cranmer, that he took an Oath of Obedience to the Pope when he was confecrated; and for that he made a Protestation, by which he gave divers Restrictions to the said ·Oath. But he reports all that he fays concerning this Matter, upon the Authority of certain passionate Scriblers, and quite contrary to the Faith of the publick Acts. The Protestation of the Archbishop was read twice before the Altar, while he was confectating, and it is clear that he had no defign to make use of Equivocals, since what he did, he did in publick, and for that the Bishops usually made Protestations, by which they renounced all Clauses of their Bulls which were contrary to the Kings Prerogative. It feems the anonists, accustomed to this doubling Equivocation, had so much Power over Cranmer as to encline him to take the Oath, and restrain it by a publick Protestation, made at the same time; so that if he did any thing amifs in fo doing; it was rather a Defect of Judgment in that Prelate, than any want of Sincerity.

VI. The Author fays that the King pardoned Moore and Fisher, the Butiness of the Maid of Kent; and though he confess that the first ridicules her for an idle silly Nun in one of his Letters,

cludes September and January out of his long Letter of Moore's, which I publish-Account, for this only Reason, That ed in my justifying Pieces belonging to the Second Volume, where he speaks As for the Judgment of the Synod of of the pretended Revelations of that religious Wench, as one of the most horrid Impostures that ever were. As for Fisher; whatever the Author fays, he was condemned for favouring that linposture. To this M. Le Grand aclus, That the Chancellour having demanded of Fisher and Moore, what they thought of the Statutes made in the last Parliament, they would make no Answer, only they faid, That being cut off from civil Society, they minded nothing but their Meditation upon their Saviour's Passion, which An-(wer cost them their Lives. Here is a Corruption of History, which I shall not call fo bad as it deferves; which is fo much the more odious, for that writing things as they were transacted, and according to publick Acts, he could represent them after a manner so favourable to his own (aufe. Thefe two great Men were condemned at first by virtue of a Pramunite, which is loss of Goods and perpetual Imprisonment, for having refused to take the Oath concerning the Succession, by reason of the Kings Marriage according to an Act of Parliament. After that they were farther profecuted, because they opposed the King's Supremacy, or his Title of the supreme Head of the English hurch. There is one thing too in Moore's Process which might be sufficient to make a Man Guilty of High Treason, where he fays, That a Parliament can both make a King and depose a King.

Now In regard I have confin'd myfelf within these Six Heads, I shall go no yet he feems not to have feen a long farther; but the abundance of Matter

makes me that I have much ado to hold here, I"cannot but wonder the Author has forgot to many important Things in his History, and that he could find in the Collection of Letters printed by Camuzat, which I never faw, until he did me the Honour to give them unto me. He fays nothing of what the Pope promised Cardinal Tournon, That for Forms Sale he should be obliged to observe some Formalities of Action, to the End be might not flow himself too partial to the King of England, in favour of whom be was resolved to do what lay in his Fower, for the Love of you, faid the Prelate, writing to the King of France. And a little after, I think I am well affured that our Holy Father will comply with you, touching the Request which you have made him in Behalf of your said Brother, Henry VIII. In a Letter of the Seventeenth of The fame (ardinal August 1532. writes to Francis I. That the greatest Party of the Cardinals, that were of the Imperial Faction, would have been mad with the Pope, had he not done what he did, in regard there was but little likelybood that the King would Submit his Cause, and that the Pope might have some honourable Pretence to act for him, he would do it with as good a Will as was possible. And it may be, adds this Minister, when you meet together (he speaks of the Interview that was to be at Marfeilles) there will be found out Expedients. It appears also by another Letter, that Francis I. told the English Emballador, That the Pope himself had confessed that King Henry's Cause was just, and that he wanted nothing but a Procuration. Therefore it was that when the King was cited to appear at Rome in Person, or

by a Proctor, he took little Notice of it. That if Carne were fent beyond the Mountains in the Quality of an Excufer, it was feen by those Mixtures that it was not in the Name of the King, but in the Nation's Behalf that he went to make those kind of Excuses.

This Refusal of Henry being look'd upon at Rome as an effect of Contempt, which he had of the Holy See, the Pope promifed him the Divorce if he would but appear in that City either in Person or by his Proctor, in perfuance of the Assignation which he had caused to be given him, and acknowledge his Authority. Francis the First applauded the King's Conduct in that Affair, and was so far from endeavouring to oppose his Marriage, that he ordered his Emballador to be God-Father in his Name to the Child that should be born in case it were a Son. The French Embassador at Rome about that time wrote also several Letters to his Master's Court, where he observes, That the Pope was very ready to do what. mas defired in the King of England's behalf, and more if he durst or could, but that the Emperors People pressed the Affair with so much Importunity, that the half of the time, His Holiness, against God and against Reason, nay, contrary to the Opinion of a good part of the Imperial Cardinals, was constrained above half the time, to act at the pleasure of M. Dosme -We wanted you there to have put a spoak in his Wheel, pursues he, writing to the Cardinal of Grandemont, There is no Man that dares tell him the Truth. And it is as true that this Embassador who was Bishop of Auxerres, faid also speaking to the Pope, That he saw him so pressed by the Emperor, his People, and nothing of it. Nevertheless we find the greatest part of the Cardinals, that he thought he could do no good but only by Dissimulation. But indeed these cunning Politicians understand so well how to change their Stile, according to Occurrences, that there's hardly any trust to be given to their Letters. The fame Day that he wrote what we have cited to the Pope's Legate, in another Letter to the Grand Malter he observes, that the Pope had told him, that for Four been in his Hands, that there was nothing effected as yet; that if he might do what be would, he would do what me would; and fays the Minister, This he told me in such a manner, that if I am not deceived he thought what he poke. All those Letters. were dated the 17. of Feb. 1532. But in another of the 13. of Jan. following, he affures that the Pope had told him, That he mas resolved to referr the whole. Business to a good Time; and that he clearly understood, what the Pope meant by a good Time. To which he adds, that if the Matter had been judged according to the Wishes of the Cardinals, and the eager Instances of the Emperor's People, the most ancient and learned had judged for the King of England. of that Court. But that there were but few of that Company, and the number of the other was fo great, that by plurality of voices the English would have utterly lost it.

M. Le Grand is very nice and tender, that there arrived a Courrier from En-

in these Miscellanies, a Letter from Pomponio Trivulci, dated from Lyons the 16. of April, where he observes, that M. d. Paris passing that way upon his return from Rome, told him that the definitive Sentence which the Pope had given against the King of England, had That it was not been precipitated. the Pope's fault that they did not temporize longer; that if they had staid but Six Days more before they had pro-Years the Business of Henry VIII. had: nounced it, the King would have submitted to the Holy See. But that the Importunity of the Imperalists and the Consistory was so great, that they would not stay. That the next Day after the Resolution of England came too late, but that then the Consistory and the Imperalists were mad, that they stand no longer. All these pailages plainly filew, that the Court of Rome was governed in this Affair, only by the prospects and maxims of Policy. And therefore it is, that according to the Principles of the Gallican Church, M. Talon has maintained with fo much Zeal, upon an occasion of much less importance, that the King of England had no reason to have any regard to the Sentences and Thundrings

If I am extreamly obliged to M. Le Grand for having made me a prefent of fo good a Book, in which he furnish'd me with fo many proofs of the most important Points of my History, I am no less troubled that he had so little value for when he comes to that Circumstance, himself, as to suppress them, and for his having forced me, as I may to fay, gland to Rome a day or two after Sentence to make use of the kindness he has done was given; and he omits the haft in me to his own disadvantage. But upon which it was pronounced, as if he knew fuch occasions as these, the faying is, Migis Migis amica veritas. And though M. will produce in the World. Le Grand imagines that I am jealous of conclude with humble request to parmy Productions, were not the Interests of Religion intermixed therewith, I could eatily abandon mine. But I will not now push this censure any farther; neither do I know whether I shall write any more upon this Subject, not being able to determine any thing in that matter, till I have feen the Three other Hagne, 20. of June. parts of this work, and the effects it

don the Liberty which I take of addressing this Letter to you, and that in fo publick a manner, not believing a man could otherwise so properly give a censure upon a printed Book.

I am, Sir, &c.

FINIS.

REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN THE

HENRY E. HUNTINGTON LIBRARY

FOR REFERENCE ONLY. NOT FOR REPRODUCTION